## **OHIO Star in Agricultural Placement Rubric**

Name\_\_\_

Chapter\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Area	Section	Max Points	High Points 7-6	Mid Points 5-3	Low Points 2-0	Pts.
	SAE Hrs/Wages	22	Includes a <u>complete SAE description</u> with detailed information on employment duties & responsibilities, hours and/or pay.	Includes a limited SAE description lacks detailed information on employment duties & responsibilities, hours and/or pay.	Little to no SAE description provides confusing or poor information on employment duteis & responsibilities, hours and/or pay.	
SAE size, scope, responsiblities & growth	Attached SAE		15-11	10-6	5-0	
	Agreements Performance Review A		Shows significant growth, in diversification, responsibilities, hours and/or pay over time period and opportunities represented.	Shows limited growth, in diversification, responsibilities, hours and/or pay over time period and opportunities represented.	Shows little or no growth, in diversification, responsibilities, hours and/or pay over time period and opportunities represented.	
Area	Section	Max Points	High Points 3	Mid Points 2	Low Points 1-0	Pts.
SAE explanation and relation to award area	Performance Review A, Question 1	3	<u>Clearly and specifically describes</u> what the project is and how member started it. If project involves non- cash exchanges or trades they are specifically explained.	Provides a <u>basic explanation</u> of the project but lacks clear and specific detail of what project is and how member started it. If present non- cash or trade arrangements are mentioned but lack specific details.	Provides a <u>vague/unclear</u> understanding of what the project is and/or how member started it. If present, non-cash or trade arrangements are mentioned but <u>do not</u> <u>provide</u> enough detail to be clearly understood.	
Roles and responsibilities and/or management decisions made	Performance Review A, Question 2	3	Response demonstrates a <u>clear description</u> of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made related to their SAE program. (1 - 0)	Response demonstrates a <u>limited description</u> of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made related to their SAE program. (0.5 - 0)	Response demonstrates <u>little or no description</u> of roles, responsibilities, and/or management decisions made related to their SAE program. (0)	
			Response demonstrates <u>significant change or</u> <u>progression</u> (growth) of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made over time period represented. (2 to 0)	Response demonstrates <u>limited change or</u> <u>progression</u> (growth) of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made over time period represented. (1.5 - 0)	Response demonstrates <u>no change or</u> <u>progression</u> (growth) of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made over time period represented. (0 - neg. 1)	
Challanges	Performance Review A, Question 3	3	Response demonstrates a <u>complete explanation</u> of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge. (1-0)	Response demonstrates a <u>limited explanation</u> of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge. (0.5-0)	Response demonstrates <u>little or no explanation</u> of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge. (0)	
Challenges			Response demonstrated candidate's <u>complete</u> <u>involvement</u> in addressing the challenge. (2 - 0)	Response demonstrated candidate's <u>limited</u> involvement in addressing the challenge (1.5 - 0)	Response demonstrated <u>no involvement</u> in addressing the challenge. (0 - neg. 1)	
Area	Section	Max Points	High Points 3	Mid Points 2	Low Points 1-0	Pts.
Progress - Accomplishments & Awareness	Performance Review B - Accomplishmen t #1	3	Response clearly identifies and describes a <u>measurable</u> <u>accomplishment</u> that influenced the growth and success of the project.	Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that influenced the growth and success of the project <u>has little clarity,</u> <u>detail or measurability</u> .	Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that had little or no influence on the growth and success of the project or provides <u>no clarity</u> , <u>detail or measurability</u> .	
	Performance Review B - Accomplishmen t #2	3	Response clearly identifies and describes a <u>measurable</u> <u>accomplishment</u> that influenced the growth and success of the project.	Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that influenced the growth and success of the project has <u>little clarity, detail or measurability</u> .	Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that had little or no influence on the growth and success of the project or provides <u>no clarity, detail or measurability</u> .	
	Performance Review B - Issue/Trend/Tec h/Policy Impact	3	Response clearly identifies one issue, trend, technology or public policy that impacted the project. <u>Describes</u> <u>the specific &amp; measurable impact in detail</u> .	Response identifies an issue, trend, technology or public policy that impacted the project. Describes the impact <u>vaguely and/or impact</u> <u>description is not specifically measurable</u> .	Response does not identify a relevant issue, trend, technology or public policy that impacted the project. Impact is <u>poorly or not</u> <u>at all described or understood or had no</u> <u>measurable</u> impact.	

Area	Section	Max Points	High Poins 2	Mid Points 1	Low Points 0 to neg. 1	Pts.
	Performance Review C #1	2	Career objective is <u>clear and in a specific career field</u> . Career exploration and research steps to research this choice are described in detail. <b>NOTE:</b> Career objective does not have to be related to agriculture or natural resources.	Career objective is <u>vague and is more of a</u> <u>general field</u> . Exploration and research steps are not clear or specific. <b>NOTE</b> : Career objective does not have to be related to agriculture or natural resources.	Career objective is <u>missing or not well defined</u> . Little to no exploration or career research is described. <b>NOTE:</b> Career objective does not have to be related to agriculture or natural resources.	
	Section	<b>Max Points</b>	High Points 3	Mid Points 2 to 1	Low Points 0 to neg. 1	Pts.
Career Exploration & Development	Performance Review C #2 Opportunity 1	3	Experience/activity/opportunity described is <u>clear and</u> <u>specific</u> . Convincingly describes how the activity provided preparation for named career.	Experience/activity/opportunity is <u>vaguely</u> <u>described</u> . How the activity provided preparation for named career is only vaguely shown or is unrealistic.	Experience/activity/opportunity is <u>missing or</u> <u>not well described</u> . How the activity provided preparation for named career is not established or is wildly unrealistic.	
	Performance Review C #2 Opportunity 2	3	Experience/activity/opportunity described is <u>clear and</u> <u>specific</u> . Convincingly describes how the activity provided preparation for named career.	Experience/activity/opportunity is <u>vaguely</u> <u>described</u> . How the activity provided preparation for named career is only vaguely shown or is unrealistic.	Experience/activity/opportunity is <u>missing or</u> <u>not well described</u> . How the activity provided preparation for named career is not established or is wildly unrealistic.	
Area	Section	Max Points	High Points 10-7	Mid Points 6-4	Low Points 3-0	Pts.
Efficiencies or Learning Outcomes	Efficiency Factors or Learning Outcomes	10	<u>5 responses reflective of SAE</u> , each <u>clearly</u> demonstrates <u>measurable</u> improvement of efficiencies over time period reported, or clearly identifies how poor efficiencies led to decisions to change SAE enterprises or activities. (10-7)	<u>1-2 responses</u> , or each listed, <u>partially</u> demonstrates improvements of efficiencies over time period reported, or <u>partially</u> identifies how poor efficiencies led to decisions to change SAE enterprises or activities. (6-4)	<u>1-0 responses</u> and does not demonstrate or relate improvements of efficiencies to the SAE over time period reported, or does not identify how poor efficiencies lead to decisions to change SAE enterprises or activities. (3-0)	

Area	Section	Max Points			High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
			Relevance to	Selected Indicator clearly applies to	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project	Selected Indicator does not apply to project	
		0.5		project detailed in application. (max .5		detailed in application	
			Project	pts)	detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	(Zero Score for entire Indicator)	
	Primary		Relevance of	Described activity is completely and		Described activity is not related to selected	
	Pathway	0.5	Activity to	clearly related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is vaguely related to selected	Indicator.	
	Indicator A1		Indicator	(max .5 pts)	Indicator. (max 0 pts)	(Zero Score for entire Indicator)	
				Provided description clearly	Provided description vaguely demonstrates	Provided description is unclear and/or does not	
		3	Quality of	demonstrates applicant performing	applicant performing Indicator. (max 2 to 0.5	demonstrate applicant performing Indicator. (0	
			Description	Indicator. (max 3 pts)	pts)	pts)	
				Selected Indicator clearly applies to		Selected Indicator does not apply to project	
		0.5	Relevance to	project detailed in application. (max .5	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project	detailed in application	
Primary Pathway Indicators			Project	pts)	detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	(Zero Score for entire Indicator)	
	Primary		Relevance of	Described activity is completely and		Described activity is not related to selected	
	Pathway	0.5	Activity to	clearly related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is vaguely related to selected	Indicator.	
Agriculture, Food and Natural Resource	, Indicator A2	0.5	Indicator	(max .5 pts)	Indicator. (max 0 pts)	(Zero Score for entire Indicator)	
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge				Provided description clearly	Provided description vaguely demonstrates	Provided description is unclear and/or does not	
		3	Quality of	demonstrates applicant performing	applicant performing Indicator. (max 2 to 0.5	demonstrate applicant performing Indicator. (0	
Score each selected Indicator.		J. J	Description	Indicator. (max 3 pts)	pts)	pts)	
				Selected Indicator clearly applies to	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project	Selected Indicator does not apply to project	
If no indicator is selected give zero points.		0.5	Relevance to Project	project detailed in application. (max .5		detailed in application	
		0.5		pts)		(Zero Score for entire Indicator)	
If an indicator is duplicated, give a zero	Primary		Relevance of	Described activity is completely and		Described activity is not related to selected	
score to the entire indicator the 2nd time		Pathway 0.5 A	Activity to Indicator	clearly related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator. (max 0 pts)	Indicator.	
the indicator is listed.				,			
	indicator A5			(max .5 pts) Provided description clearly		(Zero Score for entire Indicator) Provided description is unclear and/or does not	
Special Instructions		2	Quality of	. ,	Provided description vaguely demonstrates		
If selected indicator does not apply to		3	Description	demonstrates applicant performing	applicant performing Indicator. (max 2 to 0.5	demonstrate applicant performing Indicator. (0	
project detailed in application give a zero				Indicator. (max 3 pts)	pts)	pts)	
score to the entire indicator regardless	ss	0.5	0.5 Relevance to	Selected Indicator clearly applies to	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project	Selected Indicator does not apply to project	
of quality of description.		0.5	Project	project detailed in application. (max .5	detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	detailed in application	
	<b>.</b>			pts)		(Zero Score for entire Indicator)	
If described activity is not related to	Primary Pathway 0.5 Indicator A4	0.5	Relevance of Activity to	Described activity is completely and	Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator. (max 0 pts)	Described activity is not related to selected	
indicator give a zero score to the entire				clearly related to selected Indicator.		Indicator.	
indicator regardless of the quality of		Indicator	(max .5 pts)		(Zero Score for entire Indicator)		
description.		C	Quality of	Provided description clearly	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator. (max 2 to 0.5 pts)	Provided description is unclear and/or does not	
		3	Description	demonstrates applicant performing		demonstrate applicant performing Indicator. (0	
			2000.000	Indicator. (max 3 pts)		pts)	
		0.5	Relevance to	Selected Indicator clearly applies to	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	Selected Indicator does not apply to project	
			Project	project detailed in application. (max .5		detailed in application	
			-	pts)		(Zero Score for entire Indicator)	
	,		Relevance of	Described activity is completely and	Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator. (max 0 pts)	Described activity is not related to selected	
		0.5	Activity to	clearly related to selected Indicator.		Indicator.	
	Indicator A5		Indicator	(max .5 pts)		(Zero Score for entire Indicator)	
		3	Quality of	Provided description clearly	Provided description vaguely demonstrates	Provided description is unclear and/or does not	
			Description	demonstrates applicant performing	applicant performing Indicator. (max 2 to 0.5	demonstrate applicant performing Indicator. (0	
			Description	Indicator. (max 3 pts)	pts)	pts)	

Any Pathway Indicators								
Score each selected Indicator.				Selected Indicator clearly applies to		Selected Indicator does not apply to project		
If no indicator is selected give zero		0.5	Relevance to Project	project detailed in application. (max .5	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	detailed in application		
points.				pts)		(Zero Score for entire Indicator)		
pomesi			Relevance of	Described activity is completely and		Described activity is not related to selected		
If an indicator is duplicated, give a zero	Any Pathway	0.5	Activity to	clearly related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is vaguely related to selected	Indicator.		
score to the entire indicator the 2nd time	Indicator B6	tor B6 0.5	-		Indicator. (max 0 pts)			
the indicator is listed.			Indicator	(max .5 pts)		(Zero Score for entire Indicator)		
the malcator is listed.			Quality of	Provided description clearly	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator. (max 2 to 0.5	Provided description is unclear and/or does not		
			Description	demonstrates applicant performing		demonstrate applicant performing Indicator. (0		
Special Instructions			-	Indicator. (max 3 pts)	pts)	pts)		
If selected indicator does not apply to			Relevance to	Selected Indicator clearly applies to	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	Selected Indicator does not apply to project		
project detailed in application give a zero		0.5	Project	project detailed in application. (max .5		detailed in application		
score to the entire indicator regardless				pts)		(Zero Score for entire Indicator)		
of quality of description.	Any Pathway		Relevance of	Described activity is completely and	Described activity is vaguely related to selected	Described activity is not related to selected		
	Indicator B7	0.5	Activity to	clearly related to selected Indicator.		Indicator.		
If described activity is not related to	Indicator B7		Indicator	(max .5 pts)	Indicator. (max 0 pts)	(Zero Score for entire Indicator)		
indicator give a zero score to the entire			0	Provided description clearly	Provided description vaguely demonstrates	Provided description is unclear and/or does not		
indicator regardless of the quality of		3	Quality of	demonstrates applicant performing	applicant performing Indicator. (max 2 to 0.5	demonstrate applicant performing Indicator. (0		
description.			Description	Indicator. (max 3 pts)	pts)	pts)		
Career Ready Practices & Cluster Skills								
			Relevance to Project	Selected Indicator clearly applies to	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	Selected Indicator does not apply to project		
		0.5		project detailed in application. (max .5		detailed in application		
	Career Ready Practices			pts)		(Zero Score for entire Indicator)		
			Relevance of	Described activity is completely and		Described activity is not related to selected		
	or	0.5 Activit	Activity to	clearly related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is vaguely related to selected	Indicator.		
Score each selected Indicator.	Cluster Skills		Indicator	(max .5 pts)	Indicator. (max 0 pts)	(Zero Score for entire Indicator)		
If no indicator is selected give zero	Indicator C8		Quality of Description	Provided description clearly	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator. (max 2 to 0.5 pts)	Provided description is unclear and/or does not		
points.				demonstrates applicant performing		demonstrate applicant performing Indicator. (0		
		5		Indicator. (max 3 pts)		pts)		
If an indicator is duplicated, give a zero				Selected Indicator clearly applies to	pts)	Selected Indicator does not apply to project		
score to the entire indicator the 2nd time		0.5	Relevance to Project		Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)			
the indicator is listed.	Course Double			project detailed in application. (max .5		detailed in application		
	Career Ready			pts)		(Zero Score for entire Indicator)		
Special Instructions		r 0.5	Relevance of	Described activity is completely and	Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator. (max 0 pts)	Described activity is not related to selected		
If selected indicator does not apply to			Activity to	clearly related to selected Indicator.		Indicator.		
project detailed in application give a zero	Cluster Skills		Indicator	(max .5 pts)		(Zero Score for entire Indicator)		
score to the entire indicator regardless	Indicator C9		Quality of	Provided description clearly	Provided description vaguely demonstrates	Provided description is unclear and/or does not		
of quality of description.		3	Description	demonstrates applicant performing	applicant performing Indicator. (max 2 to 0.5	demonstrate applicant performing Indicator. (0		
of quality of description.			Description	Indicator. (max 3 pts)	pts)	pts)		
If described activity is not related to	Career Ready Practices or Cluster Skills	0.5 Career Ready	Relevance to	Selected Indicator clearly applies to	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	Selected Indicator does not apply to project		
indicator give a zero score to the entire				project detailed in application. (max .5		detailed in application		
0			Project	pts)		(Zero Score for entire Indicator)		
indicator regardless of the quality of		or 0.5	0.5 Relevance of Activity to Indicator	Described activity is completely and	Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator. (max 0 pts)	Described activity is not related to selected		
description.				clearly related to selected Indicator.		Indicator.		
				(max .5 pts)		(Zero Score for entire Indicator)		
	Indicator C10	ndicator C10	3 Quality of	Provided description clearly	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator. (max 2 to 0.5	Provided description is unclear and/or does not		
				demonstrates applicant performing		demonstrate applicant performing Indicator. (0		
			Description	Indicator. (max 3 pts)	pts)	pts)		
						indicator: (max o pto)	p(3)	p(3)

Area	Section	Max Points	High Points 2	Mid Points 1	Low Points .5-0	Pts.
Personal History – not more than three pages in length	Personal History	2	Pages <u>support</u> the knowledge and skills competencies necessary for success in the agriculture industry. Personal history gives in depth additional information and insight into the candidate's program. (2)	Pages have <u>limited support</u> for knowledge, skills and competencies necessary for success in the agriculture industry. Personal history gives some additional information into the candidate's program. (1)	Pages <u>have very little, or no support</u> for the application and/or does not give additional information. Pages not included, equals a score of zero. (.5-0)	
Area	Section	Max Points	High Points 2	Mid Points 1	Low Points .5 to 0	<b>Points Earned</b>
Safety Photos	Photo Pages 1-2	2	Candidate submitted two high quality photos with clear and specific captions that demonstrate and describe safety practices relevant to the project described in the application.	Candidate submitted two quality photos with vague and general captions that somewhat demonstrate and describe safety practices relevant to the project described in the application.	Candidate submitted two photos or fewer photos with captions that very generally or poorly demonstrate and describe safety practices relevant to the project described in the application.	
Area	Section	Max Points	High Points 4-3	Mid Points 2-1	Low Points .5-0	Pts.
Project Photos	Photo Pages 3-6	4	Candidate submitted four high quality photos with clearly descriptive captions that demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE.	Candidate submitted four quality photos with slightly vague captions that marginally demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE.	Candidate submitted four poor quality photos with_non-descriptive captions that poorly demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE or submits fewer than four photos.	
Area	Section	Max Points	High Points 2	Mid Points 1	Low Points 0	Pts.
Spelling and Grammar		2	Candidate makes <u>no errors</u> in grammar or spelling that distracts the reader from the content. (2)	Candidate made <u>limited</u> errors in grammar or spelling that distracts the reader from the content. (1)	Candidate made <u>excessive errors</u> in grammar or spelling that distracts the reader from the content. (0)	
Total Points		108		•	Total Points (Max 108)=	
					Judges Signature	